CHAPTER 19 GRADE SEPARATION

CONTENTS

Sectio	n Subject	Page Number
19.1	Introduction	19-1
19.2	Project Initiation	19-1
	Priority List	19-1
19.3	PROJECT ALLOCATIONS	19-1
	SPECIAL ALLOCATIONS	19-3
	Pittsburg Track Removal and Grade Crossing Elimination Project AMTRAK Contributions	19-3 19-3
19.4	Procedures for Payment of Work	19-4
	Agreements	19-4
	Allocation for Costs	19-4
	Preliminary Engineering	19-4
	Construction	19-4
	Cost Increase	19-4
	Priority List	19-5
	Advance Construction	19-5
	EXHIBITS	
EXHIB	IT Description	Page Number
19-A	PUC's Priority List Criteria	19-7

CHAPTER 19 GRADE SEPARATION PROGRAM

19.1 Introductions

The intent of the Grade Separation Program is to improve safety and expedite the movement of vehicles by eliminating highway-rail crossing at grade with a grade separation. Grade separation means a structure which actually separates the vehicle roadway from the railroad tracks.

The grade separation project can include the grade separation and all approaches, ramps, connections, drainage, and other construction items required to make the grade separation operable and to effect the separation the vehicle roadway from the railway tracks. Grade separation projects may also include provisions for separations of non-motorized traffic from vehicular roadway and the railroad tracks. If a separation of non-motorized traffic is not to be included in the project, there shall be a finding that the separation of non-motorized traffic is not in the public interest. This finding shall be signed by the local agency Public Works Director, Chief Engineer or highest registered Civil Engineer in that agency.

On any project where there is only one railroad track in existence, the project shall be built so as to provide for expansion to two tracks when the Grade Separation Program Manager determines that the project is on an existing or potential major railroad passenger corridor. Such projects may consist of:

- 1. The alteration or reconstruction of existing grade separations.
- 2. The construction of new grade separation to eliminate existing or proposed grade crossings
- 3. The removal or relocation of highways or railroad tracks to eliminate existing grade crossings.

19.2 PROJECT INITIATION

PRIORITY LIST

Prior to July 1 of each year, the Public Utilities Commission will establish a list, in order of priority, of projects which the commission determines to be most urgently in need of separation or alteration. The priority list will be determined on the basis of criteria established by the Public Utilities Commission, see Exhibit 19-A PUC's Priority List Criteria.

As to projects of otherwise equal priority, the commission will give greater priority to grade separation projects for which the amount contributed by a local agency is equal to or greater than 50 percent of the cost of the project.

19.3 PROJECT ALLOCATIONS

California Transportation Commission will make allocations for projects contained in the latest priority list for preliminary engineering and construction costs on the basis of the following:

- (a) An allocation of 80 percent of the estimated cost of the project shall be made; except that whenever contributions from other sources exceed 20 percent of the estimated cost, the allocation shall be reduced by the amount of local contribution in excess of 20 percent of the estimated cost.
- (b) An allocation of 50 percent of the estimated cost of the project shall be made for a proposed crossing.
- (c) No allocation shall be made in excess of 50 percent of the estimated cost of the project unless the grade crossing to be eliminated has been in existence for at least 10 years prior to the date of allocation.
- (d) On projects which eliminate an existing crossing, or alter or reconstruct an existing grade separation, no allocation shall be made unless the railroad agrees to contribute 10 percent of the cost of the project.
- (e) Where a project does not include a grade separation, but eliminates existing grade crossing or crossings, the allocation shall not exceed the estimated allocation that would have been made for the grade separation which is no longer needed because of the elimination of the grade crossing by the project and which is indicated on the priority list to be urgently in need of grade separation.
- (f) Where the project includes the separation of a highway and a railroad passenger service operated by a city or county, the operating agency shall contribute 20 percent of the cost of the project. The priority listing for such projects shall be in accordance with criteria established for such railroad passenger service by the Public Utilities Commission.
- (g) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a) to (f), inclusive, the total of such allocations for a single project shall not exceed five million dollars (\$5,000,000) without specific legislative authorization, except that the amount for a single project may be increased to either (1) an amount that includes the Federal construction cost index increase each year since 1976, or (2) an amount which does not exceed one third of the total funds appropriated for grade separation projects for the year of allocation, whichever amount is less, as determined each year by the Public Utilities Commission.
- (h) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a) to (g), inclusive, a single project in excess of five million dollars (\$5,000,000), but not exceeding twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000), shall be considered without specific legislative authority, if the project:
 - (1) is included in the Public Utilities Commission's priority list of projects scheduled to be funded,
 - (2) eliminates the need for future related grade separation projects,
 - (3) provides projected cost savings of at least 50 percent to the State or local jurisdiction, or both of them, by eliminating the need for future projects, and
 - (4) alleviates traffic and safety problems or provides improved rail service not otherwise possible.

Projects approved pursuant to this subdivision shall be funded over a multi year period, not to exceed five years, and the allocation for any one of those years shall not exceed the amount prescribed by subdivision (g) for a single project. An agency which has received an allocation for a project approved pursuant to this subdivision shall not be eligible for an allocation for another project under this subdivision for a period of 10 years from the date of approval of that project. Not more than one-half of the total allocation available in any one fiscal year for grade separation projects may be

used for the purposes of this subdivision.

(i) Notwithstanding any of the above provisions of this section or any other provision of law, when the State or local agency uses funds derived from Federal sources in financing its share of project costs, the railroad contribution, where required by Federal law or regulation, shall be computed pursuant to Federal law. However, the allocation made pursuant to this chapter shall be computed as though such matching contribution was derived from nonFederal sources and shall be computed as though the railroad had made its contribution pursuant to state law rather than pursuant to Federal law. Where the contribution of the railroad is computed according to Federal law or regulation because of the use of Federal funds in the allocation for a project, the allocation shall be increased by the amount the share of the railroad is reduced below 10 percent of the estimated cost of the project.

SPECIAL ALLOCATIONS

PITTSBURG TRACK REMOVAL AND GRADE CROSSING ELIMINATION PROJECT

The planned removal of trackage of the Sacramento Northern Railway, the construction of substitute tracks and track connections, the elimination of 10 existing grade crossings, the acquisition of necessary rights-of-way, and all necessary associated work and appurtenances, to enable Sacramento Northern Railway trains to operate via existing trackage of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway, in and adjacent to the City of Pittsburg, is eligible for Grade Separation funds. The Public Utilities Commission will determine to what extent, if any, the railroad shall contribute to the project. Such eligibility will not be contingent on whether the railroad agrees to contribute, and the California Highway Commission shall not deny an allocation on such grounds.

The Legislature determined it is necessary to enact special legislation regarding the Pittsburgh track removal and grade crossing elimination project because of the existence of the following special facts and circumstances:

- The predominant traffic carried by the Sacramento Northern Railway consists of high explosives, bombs, shells, and ammunition destined for the United States Navy ammunition depot at Port Chicago.
- Such trains traverse residential areas, cross 10 streets at grade, and constitute a grave hazard to the life and safety of the residents of Pittsburg.
- Sacramento Northern Railway is willing to remove its tracks and operate its trains via the tracks of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway, which is already partially grade separated and which offers a safer route
- Sacramento Northern Railway will sacrifice certain of its own facilities, will receive no benefits, and therefore does not have to contribute any portion of the cost incidental to the removal of its trackage or for the construction of substitute track connections and appurtenances or for the acquisition of rights-of-way.

AMTRAK CONTRIBUTIONS

Whenever the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK) contributes an amount equal to one-third of the total cost to the State or local agencies for a grade separation project, or any lesser percentage, the California Transportation Commission may agree to reduce proportionately the cost to the participating parties.

Any such grade separation project may be assigned a priority by the Public Utilities

Commission that is higher than the priorities assigned to all other such projects for which the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK) has not made a contribution.

19.4 PROCEDURES FOR PAYMENT OF WORK

AGREEMENTS

After an allocation is made to a local agency by the commission, the local agency and Caltrans will enter into an agreement concerning the handling and accounting of funds, including procedures to permit prompt payment for the work accomplished. The procedures providing for payment of work accomplished shall be drawn in such a manner as to avoid the necessity for the local agency to utilize funds in an amount greater than the local agency's share of the project costs. Such agreement may establish procedures for the programming of the work of the project in order to assure optimum cash flow utilization of funds made available by the Legislature.

ALLOCATION FOR COSTS

PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING

Pre-construction costs (engineering, right-of-way, preparation of environmental impact reports, and utility relocation) expended by a local agency prior to any allocation shall be included in the total cost of the project even though the costs were expended prior to an allocation. Allocations shall be made for pre-construction costs to a local agency that submits evidence satisfactory to the Department that the local agency will be able to meet the requirements for an allocation for construction costs, and that pre-construction costs will exceed the local share of the cost of the project. A local agency may also proceed with the advertising for bids and the construction of a project without prejudice to its right to receive an allocation if an allocation is within the same fiscal year that the construction contract was awarded.

CONSTRUCTION

An allocation for construction costs, including pre-construction costs if not already allocated, shall be made to a local agency only if it furnishes evidence satisfactory to the Grade Separation Program Manager that all necessary orders of the Public Utilities Commission have been executed, that sufficient local funds will be made available as the work of the project progresses, that all necessary agreements with affected railroad or railroads have been executed that, if required, all environmental impact reports have been prepared and approvals obtained, and that all other matters prerequisite to the award of the construction contract can be accomplished within one year after the allocation.

COST INCREASE

Except as noted below, allocations shall remain available until expended. If a construction contract has not been awarded within one year after an allocation for construction costs, the CTC may order the allocation canceled and such funds returned to the program for allocation to other projects. All or any part of an allocation for pre-construction costs may be canceled upon a finding that insufficient progress is being made to complete the project. When an allocation is canceled, the local agency shall repay any funds received from the program. The Grade Separation Program

Manager shall determine, with input from the local agency, repayment schedule.

PRIORITY LIST

If the actual and necessary cost of the project exceeds the estimate, the allocations made for such project may be augmented proportionately by a supplemental allocation. A supplemental allocation will be made if the CTC is satisfied that funds would have been allocated for the project had the actual costs, instead of the original allocation, been used in determining the projects ranking on the priority list.

If more projects comply are eligible than can be financed from funds set aside for the Grade separation program, allocations shall be made to those projects highest on the priority list, see Exhibit A. The CTC may make allocations for any project on the priority list when it determines, at the time of allocation, that sufficient funds are available for all projects which are higher on the priority list and which are, or are reasonably expected to go to construction during the fiscal year.

From funds remaining after allocations for projects higher on the priority list, the CTC will offer to allocate the remaining funds for the next eligible project on the priority list, even though the amount of the remaining funds is less than the amount the local agency is entitled to for that project. The CTC, in the next fiscal year, will allocate to the local agency an additional amount equal to the difference between the amount the local agency was eligible to receive and the amount of the reduced allocation.

ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION

A project that is on the priority list may be constructed by a local agency prior to the time that it reaches a high enough priority for funding under this chapter. The project shall retain its eligibility for listing on subsequent priority lists established by the PUC by applying the traffic, accident other conditions existing at the project location at the time immediately preceding the start of construction. If the project subsequently reaches a high enough priority, funds shall be allocated and paid to the local agency under the terms of the agreement and on the basis of the cost of construction of the project. To be eligible for subsequent funding both of the following requirements shall be met:

- The work on the project shall be performed under terms and conditions established in an agreement between Caltrans and the project sponsor executed prior to start of construction of the project.
- The project has received approval of the CTC prior to start of construction of the project.

This page with a strong the strong of the st

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation for the purpose of establishing a list for the fiscal years 1996-97 and 1997-98 of existing and proposed crossings at grade of city streets, county roads, or state highways most urgently in need of separation, or projects effecting the elimination of grade crossings by removal or relocation of streets or railroad tracks, or existing separations in need of alteration or reconstruction as contemplated by Section 2452 of the Streets and Highways Code.

F I L E D
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
JULY 19, 1995
SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE
I.95-07-003

ORDER INSTITUTING INVESTIGATION

By July 1 of each year, the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) is required pursuant to Streets and Highways (S&H) Code Section 2452 to establish and furnish to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) a priority list of railroad grade separation projects most urgently in need of separation, including the elimination of existing or proposed grade crossings, the elimination of grade crossings by removal or relocation of streets or railroad tracks, and existing grade separations most urgently in need of alteration or reconstruction. The list, based on criteria established by the Commission, includes projects on city streets, county roads, and state highways which are not freeways as defined in S&H Code Section 257.

Funding for projects included on each annual priority list is provided by S&H Code Section 190, and the basis for allocation and state requirements are contained in S&H Code Sections 2450-2461. On projects which eliminate an existing crossing or alter or reconstruct an existing grade separation, an allocation of 80% of the estimated cost of the project is made, with the local agency and railroad each contributing 10%. An allocation of 50% of the estimated cost of the project is made for a proposed crossing project, with the remaining 50% contributed by the local agency.

Subsequent to the Commission's issuance of the Annual Grade Separation Priority List, applications to California Department of Transportation (CALTRANS) for an allocation of funds are accepted no later than April 1 of each fiscal year. Requirements of filing an application for an allocation of funds are more specifically set forth in the California Administrative Code, Title 21, Chapter 2, Subchapter 13, Grade Separation Projects- Applications for Allocations or Supplemental Allocations. A copy of Subchapter 13 is attached as Appendix 1.

Interim Decision (D.) 88-06-050, dated June 17, 1988, instituted a two-year program in which nominations are submitted and hearings are held every other year. In the alternate year, the Commission will submit a list to the CTC which has been revised to delete those projects actually funded for the fiscal year in which the hearings are held. Interim D. 94-06-026, dated June 22, 1994, established the 37th annual priority list of projects for the 1994- 95 fiscal year. Final D. 95-06-020 dated June , 1995, established the 38th annual priority list for fiscal year 1995-96. This list will expire on June 30, 1996 necessitating the establishment of a new priority list for the 1996-97 and 1997-98 fiscal years.

ALL AGENCIES CONTEMPLATING THE POSSIBLE NOMINATION OF A PROJECT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997-98 ARE HEREBY PLACED ON NOTICE THAT THERE WILL BE NO SEPARATE PROCEEDINGS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997-98. THEREFORE, TO ASSURE ELIGIBILITY FOR FUNDING OF A PROJECT DURING FISCAL YEAR 1997-98, IT MUST BE NOMINATED FOR THIS INVESTIGATION.

The Commission will consider projects nominated by cities, counties, cities and counties, CALTRANS, and the various railroad companies operating within the state for inclusion on the 1996-97 and 1997-98 Grade Separation Priority Lists. In addition, the Commissions Railroad Safety Branch Special Projects staff may nominate projects which it deems urgently in need of separation but have not been nominated by other agencies or railroad companies.

The Commission is responsible for establishing criteria to be used in determining the priority of the projects nominated for separation or alteration. By Decision 90-06-058, we adopted a new formula as shown on Appendix 2. The Safety & Enforcement Division proposes to use the same formula in evaluating the 1996-97 and 1997-98 nominations.

S&H Code Section 2460.7 authorizes a local agency to construct a project on the priority list prior to the time that it reaches a high enough position for funding. The following conditions will be applied to prioritize grade separation projects on which construction has commenced:

- The project must have been nominated for the fiscal year during which construction commenced.
- 2. The project must be renominated for the fiscal year during which funding consideration is desired.
- 3. The nomination must include the same data as included in the nomination for the fiscal year during which construction commenced with the exception of construction cost data.
- 4. Cost data included in the nomination shall be:
 - a. Final costs for completed projects.
 - b. Currently anticipated final costs for projects still under construction.
- 5. All projects nominated under the provisions of Section 2460.7 shall also comply with the filing requirements set forth in this order.

For Investigations prior to I93-07-032 for establishing the grade separation priority list, the Order Instituting Investigation (OII) was mailed to all cities and counties. However, usually less than 50 such agencies actually participated in the OII by filing nominations. To reduce reproduction, handling and mailing costs, the Safety & Enforcement Division mailed the notice appearing on Appendix 3 to cities, counties and other interested parties. Those agencies interested in this investigation were requested to return the bottom portion of the notice so that this OII would then be mailed to them. This OII will also appear on the Commission's Daily and Transportation Calendars. We believe this to be fair and sufficient notice of this investigation.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. An investigation on the Commission's own motion is instituted for the purpose of establishing a new priority list for fiscal years 1996-97 and 1997-98 of existing or proposed railroad grade crossings of public streets, roads, or highways most urgently in need of separation, projects effecting the elimination of grade crossings by removal or relocation of streets or railroad tracks, and existing separation structures most urgently in need of alteration or reconstruction as required by Streets and Highways (S&H) Code Section 2452.
- 2. The Executive Director shall serve a copy of this order on the following:

Every city or county that returns the bottom portion of the OII notification (Appendix 3)

Every railroad corporation

California Department of Transportation

California Transportation Commission

League of California Cities

County Supervisors Association

- Public agencies or railroad corporations desiring to have particular crossing(s), separation(s) considered for inclusion in the 1996-97 and 1997-98 list, to be established under S&H Code 2452, shall file the original and four copies of their nomination(s) with the California Public Utilities Commission, Docket Office, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102. After filing, the Docket Office shall transmit four copies of each nomination to the Railroad Safety Branch. In D. 94-06-026 we stated that we will begin our investigation July 1995 to allow staff the required time for its field investigation and analysis and to prepare for the Priority Lists proceedings. Therefore, we will also require all parties to send a Railroad Safety Branch at the time copy of their nomination(s) to the the nominations are tendered for filing with the Commission's Docket All nominations shall be <u>received</u> by the California Public office. Utilities Commission in San Francisco no later than 4:00 p.m. on September 1, 1995. Each nominating body is also required to provide two copies of its nomination(s) to CALTRANS, one copy to the appropriate railroads (see addresses contained in Appendix 4), one copy to each of the additional parties listed in Appendix 4, and any other affected party.
 - 4. Each nomination shall include the following data:
 - a. A statement indicating the need for the project.

- b. A statement indicating that the nominating agency can or cannot complete the pre-allocation requirements, as set forth in S&H Code Section 2456, prior to April 1, 1995 for fiscal year 1996-97 and prior to April 1, 1996 for fiscal year 1997-98.
- c. A location map of the project, on paper 8-1/2 inches by 11 inches in size (scale $1" = 500' \pm 1$), showing existing streets, highways, and railroads. The proposed alignment of the grade separation shall also be shown.
- d. Two current photographs (size, 8 inches by 10 inches) of the crossing, one from each direction of approach. At least one <u>original</u> set of these photographs shall be included in the nomination copy set sent to the Railroad Safety Branch.
- e. A statement indicating the type of project.
- f. For existing or proposed crossings nominated for separation or elimination, a completed Nomination Form GSN-1 (Appendix 5).
- For proposed crossing projects, a discussion of the physical practicability of constructing an at-grade crossing in the general area of the proposed separation. The discussion shall be supported by a plan and centerline profile of an at-grade crossing reproduced on paper 8-1/2 inches by 11 inches in No discussion of economic feasibility is size. required. Only a description of the physical features of the surrounding terrain which would allow the construction of an at-grade crossing is required. If sufficient evidence is not presented that construction of an at-grade crossing is practicable, the project will be excluded from the list.

- h. For existing grade separations nominated for alteration or reconstruction, a completed Nomination Form GSN-2 (Appendix 6).
- I. A description of the existing and proposed separation structures, including acute structural deficiencies, shall be included with the nomination.
- j. Data submitted in the nomination must be based on verifiable facts occurring on or before the nomination filing date. Speculative data involving events anticipated to occur at some time in the future will not be considered.
- k. Agencies nominating projects shall file, with their nomination, prepared testimony which fully supports the nomination. Nominating agencies shall promptly furnish a copy of their nomination and prepared testimony to any party making a written request to the nominating agency. The use of prepared testimony is required to reduce hearing time and expedite the proceeding for the benefit of all concerned.
- 1. All nominations shall be verified by the nominating party. Verification may be made before a notary public or by certification or declaration under penalty of perjury.
- m. All information relating to the urgency of the project shall be filed with the nomination in affidavit form.
- n. Railroad Safety Branch Special Projects Staff nominations may be filed at any time prior to hearing and may exclude listed item to be adduced through the OII process.
- 5. Nominations shall not include multiple projects which are separate and distinct and clearly severable. The combining of severable projects precludes the Commission from effectively determining which projects are most urgently in need of separation or alteration as required by S&H Code Section 2452. Projects for the elimination of existing grade crossings and for the elimination of proposed grade crossings shall not be combined in a single nomination. (See D.86-06-073 at pp. 17-19.)

- 6. If a nomination is to be considered as a project for the elimination of existing grade crossings, and eligible for 80 percent funding, all data included in the nomination must be premised on all of the crossings proposed to be closed.
- 7. A nominating agency may elect to exclude preconstruction costs (engineering, right-of-way, preparation of environmental impact reports, and utility relocation), which are not sufficient to meet S&H Code Section 2454 requirements; that is, those preconstruction cost which are less than the local agency share of the total costs. In order for preconstruction costs to be eligible for exclusion, the funds must have been expended on or before February 28th of the year in which the hearings are being held. The involved agency may be required to submit evidence in support of the fact that the funds have been expended. To the extent that preconstruction costs are excluded from a project's cost for the purpose of a nomination, the costs will be considered as non-participating; that is, the railroad will not be required to contribute 10 percent of the excluded preconstruction costs.
- 8. In addition to submitting the Grade Separation Nomination Form, each party, or its representative, nominating a crossing for inclusion in the Grade Separation Priority List is required to appear in person at either the San Francisco or Los Angeles hearings to present evidence concerning its nomination. Supplemental data may be submitted at the hearings in support of a nomination. The data may include facts not known at the time of nomination filing date, such as crossing accidents occurring after the nomination filing date but on or before January 31st of the year during which the hearings are held. Verification of all supplemental data must be received by the staff no later than one week after the last scheduled day of hearing.
- 9. Appearance schedules will be published after all nominations have been received. Appearances will be limited to one witness per project.
- 10. Agencies anticipating the need for an allocation greater than \$5,000,000 should be prepared to present evidence at the Grade Separation Priority List hearings to justify the additional award.

S&H Code Section 2454 (g) states:

- "(g) Notwithstanding the provisions of Subdivisions (a) to (f), inclusive, the total of such allocations for a single project shall not exceed five million dollars (\$5,000,000) without specific legislative authorization, except that the amount for a single project may be increased to either (1) an amount that includes the Federal construction cost index increase each year since 1976, or (2) an amount which does not exceed one-third of the total funds appropriated for grade separation projects for the year of allocation, whichever amount is less, as determined each year by the Public Utilities Commission."
- 11. Failure to supply all of the requested information or to appear before the Commission will constitute grounds for exclusion of a project from the 1996-97 and 1997-98 Grade Separation Priority List.
- 12. Public hearings in the investigation will be held before the assigned Administrative Law Judge at dates, times, and locations to be announced.

This order is effective today. Dated July 19,1995, at San Francisco, California.

DANIEL Wm. FESSLER
President
P. GREGORY CONLON
JESSIE J. KNIGHT, JR.
HENRY M. DUQUE
Commissioners

APPENDIX 1 Sheet 1 of 5

GRADE SEPARATION

TITLE 21 Department of Transportation (Register 82, No. 34--8-21-82)

SUBCHAPTER 13, GRADE SEPARATION PROJECTS -- APPLICATIONS FOR ALLOCATIONS OR SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOCATION

Article 1. Applications

1552. Last Date to File.

April 1 of each fiscal year is the last date on which applications for allocation of grade separation funds in that fiscal year can be filed; provided, however, if April 1 is a Saturday, Sunday, or a State of California holiday, then the last date of filing shall be the next business day following April 1. Filing is accomplished by filing the application with the Department of Transportation in the manner hereafter stated.

1553. Place to File.

The complete application in triplicate must be received in the Office of the District Director of Transportation, State of California, in the transportation district in which the applicant is located, no later than 4:00 p.m. on the last day for filing.

1554. Contents of Application.

The complete application must include a written request for an allocation in a specified monetary amount along with copies of each of the following attached to it:

- (a) All necessary orders of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California. Necessary orders of the Public Utilities Commission include:
 - (1) An order authorizing construction of the project;
 - (2) A statement of the applicant's position on the annual priority list established by the Public Utilities Commission pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 2452;

APPENDIX 1 Sheet 2 of 5

GRADE SEPARATION (Cont.)

- (3) In case the applicant and affected railroad or railroads cannot agree as to the apportionment of the cost of the project between them, an order apportioning such cost pursuant to Public Utilities Commission Code Section 1202.5, but in no case shall an allocation be made unless the railroad or railroads contribute no less than the amount required by Section 2454 of the Streets and Highways Code, except as may be otherwise provided by law.
- (b) All necessary agreements with the affected railroad or railroads fully executed by railroad or railroads and applicant. The necessary agreements with the railroad include:
 - (1) Permission to enter upon railroad right of way for construction, or, in lieu thereof, an order of the Public Utilities Commission or of a court of competent jurisdiction authorizing such entry for construction purposes;
 - (2) A description of the project on a plan setting forth the area and items of the project and the particular area and items of the project to which the railroad or railroads agree to contribute;
 - (3) The percentage of railroad's or railroads' contribution to the cost of the area and items to which railroad or railroads agree to contribute;
 - (4) Identification and estimated cost of the area and items to which railroad or railroads do not contribute;
 - (5) Agreement that railroad or railroads shall contribute a minimum of 10 percent of the cost of the project without a maximum dollar limitation on the railroad's contribution, except that the contribution may be less than 10 percent of the cost of the project where expressly so provided by law.

APPENDIX 1 Sheet 3 of 5

GRADE SEPARATION (Cont.)

- (6) When two or more railroads are affected by a project, their combined contribution must be a minimum of 10 percent of the cost of the project without a maximum dollar limitation on the combined contribution, except that such combined contribution may be less than 10 percent of the cost of the project when expressly so provided by law.
- (c) A certified resolution by the applicant's governing body authorizing the filing of an application.
- (d) Certified resolution by the applicant's governing body stating that all matters prerequisite to the awarding of the construction contract can be accomplished within one year after allocation of the funds for the project by the California Transportation Commission.
- (e) A certified resolution by applicant's governing body stating that sufficient local funds will be made available as the work of the project progresses.
- Copies of all necessary Environmental Impact Reports (f) orNegative Declarations, with a certified Notice of Determination and approval or acceptance of these documents by the Lead Agency. In cases where an Environmental Impact Statement or Negative Declaration has been prepared for the project pursuant to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and implementing regulations thereto, such documents may be submitted in lieu of an approved Environmental Impact Report or Negative Declaration and Notice of Determination, provided the Environmental Impact Statement or Negative Declaration fully develops the factors required in Title 14, Section 15143, of the State Administrative Code including Title 20, Section (2), of the State Administrative Code and such 17.1 (d) Environmental Impact Statement or Negative Declaration has received Federal approval.
- (g) General plan of the project, including profiles and typical sections.
- (h) Project cost estimate, which is to be broken down to construction, preliminary and construction engineering, work by railroad forces, right of way costs, and utility relocation.

APPENDIX 1 Sheet 4 of 5

GRADE SEPARATION (Cont.)

1555. Project Limitation.

Participation of the grade separation fund is limited to only that portion of the project which, in the determination of the California Transportation Commission, is necessary to make the grade separation operable and to effect the separation of grades between the highway and the railroad track or tracks, or necessary to effect the relocation of track or highway. Off-track maintenance roads shall be nonparticipating unless the existing access for maintenance purposes is severely impaired by the project. Participating items include, but are not limited to, approaches, ramps, connections, drainage, erosion control of slopes, such as ivy, iceplant, and rye grass, and preconstruction costs, such as right of way acquisition, preparation of environmental impact reports and utility relocation, necessary to make the grade separation operable. In any dispute as to scope of project or qualification of an item, the decision of the California Transportation Commission shall be conclusive.

1556. Allocation Limitation.

Initial allocation of grade separation funds by the California Transportation Commission shall be limited to that based upon applicant's estimate of cost of project specified by applicant and utilized by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California in establishment of applicant's priority pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 2452 of the State of California, and in no case shall an original and supplemental allocation for a single project exceed a total of five million dollars (\$5,000,000) without specific legislative authorization in effect for the project at the final date and time for filing an application. A planned project must be a complete and operable project, and effect the separation of grades, relocation of the highways or railroad, in order to qualify for an allocation.

Article 2. Supplemental Allocation

1557. Last Date to File.

The last date on which an application for a supplemental allocation can be filed for the subsequent fiscal year is May 1 of the current calendar year. If May 1 is a Saturday, Sunday or a State of California holiday, then the last date of filing shall be the next business day following May 1. A formal application must be filed by the applicant, accompanied with the project final report.

APPENDIX 1 Sheet 5 of 5

GRADE SEPARATION (Cont.)

1558. Place to File.

The complete application in triplicate must be received in the Office of the District Director of Transportation, State of California, in the transportation district in which the applicant is located, no later than $4\!:\!00$ p.m. on the last day for filing.

1559. Contents of Application.

The application must include a written request for a supplemental allocation in a specified amount along with copies of each of the following attached thereto.

- (a) A certified resolution by the applicant's governing body certifying that:
 - (1) Applicant has authority to make request for supplemental allocation;
 - (2) The project has been completed and has been accepted by the governing body;
 - (3) The actual and final cost of the project has been determined and is set forth in the supplemental application;
 - (4) All costs set forth in the request for a supplemental allocation were necessary to make the grade separation operable and effect the separation of grades or the relocation of track or highway.
 - (5) That railroad or railroads have contributed 10 percent of the cost of the project unless a lesser contribution is expressly provided by law.
- (b) Evidence that funds would have been allocated for the project had the actual cost been used by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California in determining the project's ranking on the priority list.
- (c) A final accounting of the cost of the project with a statement explaining in detail why the original allocation was not sufficient.

APPENDIX 2 Sheet 1 of 6

FORMULA FOR CROSSINGS NOMINATED FOR SEPARATION OR ELIMINATION

$$P = \frac{V (T + 0.1xLRT)}{C \times F} (AH + BD) + SCF$$

Where:

P = Priority Index Number V = Average 24-Hour Vehicular Volume

C = Total Cost of Separation Project

(In Thousands of Dollars)

T = Average 24-Hour Train Volume

F = Cost Inflation Factor (Use F = 11 for 1992-93 & 1995-94 F.Y. Priority List Based on the Current Construction Cost

Index)

AH = Accident History

BD = Blocking Delay at Crossing SCF = Special Conditions Factor

SCF = VS + RS + CG + AR + PT + OF

here: Points Possible

	Vehicular Speed Limit	0 – 5
RS =	Railroad Prevailing Maximum Speed	0 – 7
CG =	Crossing Geometrics	0 – 7
AR =	Alternate Route Availability	0 – 5
PT =	Passenger Trains	0 - 10
OF =	Other Factors	0 - 16

Total Points 0 - 50

POINTS IN EACH CATEGORY ARE ASSIGNED ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING SCHEDULE:

AH = Accident History (10 Years) Each reportable train-involved accident

> Points = $(1 + 2 \times No. Killed +$ No. Injured) x PF*

*PF = Protection Factor for:

Std. #9 = 1.0Std. #8 = 0.4Std. #3 = 0.2Std. #1 = 0.1

APPENDIX 2 Sheet 2 of 6

- Note 1. No more than three points shall be allowed for each accident prior to modification by the protection factor.
- Note 2. Each Accident shall be rated separately and modified by a factor appropriate to the protection in existence at the time of the accident.

<u>Minutes</u>	<u>Points</u>
04 .59 1.0 - 1.4 1.5 - 1.9 2.0 - 2.4 2.5 - 2.9 3.0 - 3.4 3.5 - 3.9 4.0 - 4.4 4.5 - 4.9 5.0 - 5.4 5.5 - 5.9 6.0 - 6.4 6.5 - 6.9 7.0 - 7.4 7.5 - 7.9 8.0 - 8.4 8.5 - 8.9 9.0 - 9.4 9.5 - 9.9	.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 9.0

VS = Vehicular Speed Limit

MPH	<u>Points</u>
0 - 30	0
31 - 35	1
36 - 40	2
41 - 45	3
46 - 50	4
51 - 55	5

APPENDIX 2 Sheet 3 of 6

RS = Railroad Maximum Speed

<u>MPH</u>	<u>Points</u>
0 - 25	0
26 - 35	1
36 - 45	2
46 - 55	3
56 - 65	4
66 - 75	5
76 - 85	6
86 +	7

- CG = Crossing Geometrics
- AR = Alternate Route Availability

Distance (1	<u>Feet)</u>	Points
0 - 1,	000	0
1,001 - 2,	000	1
2,001 - 3,	000	2
3,001 - 4,	000	3
4,001 - 5,	000	4
5,001 +		5

PT = Passenger Trains

No. of Trains	
Per Day	Points
1 - 2	1
3 – 5	2
6 - 10	3
11 - 20	4
21 - 30	5
31 - 40	6
41 - 50	7
51 - 60	8
61 - 70	9
71 +	10

- OF = Other Factors
 - 0 16 points based on:

secondary accidents, emergency vehicle usage, passenger buses, school buses, hazardous materials trains and trucks, community impact.

APPENDIX 2 Sheet 4 of 6

FORMULA FOR EXISTING SEPARATIONS NOMINATED FOR ALTERATION OR RECONSTRUCTION

$$P = \frac{V (T + 0.1xLRT)}{C x F} + SCF$$

Where:

P = Priority Index Number V = Average 24-Hour Vehicular Volume C = Total Cost of Separation Project

(In Thousands of Dollars)

T = Average 24-Hour Train Volume

F = Cost Inflation Factor (Use F = 11 for 1992-93 & 1995-94 F.Y. Priority List Based on the Current Construction Cost Index)

SCF = Special Conditions Factor

SCF = WC + HC + SR + LL + AS + PF

Where: Points Possible

<pre>WC = Width Clearance HC = Height Clearance SR = Speed Reduction or Slow Order LL = Load Limit</pre>	0 - 10 0 - 10 0 - 5 0 - 5
AS = Accidents At or Near Structure	0 - 10
PF = Probability of Failure	0 10
and Other Factors	0 - 30
Total Possible	0 70
TOLAT POSSIBLE	0 - 70

POINTS IN EACH CATEGORY ARE ASSIGNED ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING SCHEDULE:

WC = Width Clearance

Width (feet)	<u>Points</u>
16' + 12(N)	0
12' but less than 16' + 12(N)	2
8' but less than $12' + 12(N)$	4
0" but less than $8' = 12(N)$	6
11(N) but less than 12(N)	8
Less than 11(N)	10

N = Number of Traffic Lanes

APPENDIX 2 Sheet 5 of 6

HC = Separation Height Clearance

Underpass

<u>Height (feet)</u>	<u>Points</u>
45.	•
15' and above	0
14' but less than 15'	4
13' but less than 14'	8
Less than 13'	10

Overpass

None

Moderate

Severe

<pre>Height (feet)</pre>	<u>Points</u>
22 1/2' and above 20' but less than 22 1/2 18' but less than 20' Less than 18'	0 4 8 10
SR = Speed Reduction or Slow Order	<u>Points</u>
None Moderate Severe	0 2 5
LL = Load Limit	<u>Points</u>

AS = Accidents at or Near Structure (10 years)

Number	<u>Points</u>
0 - 10	0
11 - 20	1
21 - 30	2
31 - 40	3
41 - 50	4
51 - 60	5
61 - 70	6
71 - 80	7
81 - 90	8
91 - 100	9
100 +	10

0

5

APPENDIX 2 Sheet 6 of 6

PF = Probability of Failure and other factors

0-30 points based on:

- Probability of Failure Accident Potential
- (b)
- (c) Delay Effects

APPENDIX 3

IMPORTANT NOTICE

June 30, 1995

TO: CITIES, COUNTIES AND INTERESTED PARTIES-

Re: Establishment of the 1996-97 & 1997-98 Grade Separation Priority List under Streets and Highways Code Section 2452.

The Commission is anticipating the issuance of an Order Instituting Investigation (OII) for the purpose of establishing the 1996-97 and 1997-98 priority list of railroad/highway grade separation projects eligible for state funding. The Department of Transportation (Caltrans) uses this list to allocate \$15,000,000 (\$5 million maximum per project) each fiscal year to assist local governments in financing grade separation projects.

If you are interested in the grade separation priority list program and would like to receive the OII, please detach the bottom portion of this letter and return it no later than July 20, 1995. The OII includes an explanation of the grade separation priority list program, the application and the requirements for filing. The OII also includes the criteria and formula used to rank all nominations. If your agency wishes to nominate grade separation project(s) for inclusion on the priority list, you must return this form and actively participate in the investigation in the manner set forth in the OII. Unless we hear from you, the OII will not be mailed to your agency.

If you have any questions, please contact Tack Joe at (415) 557-9884, Rosa Munoz at (213) 897-5790 or Tom Enderle at (415) 557-9889.

Very Truly Yours,

	e, Senior Transportation Engineer nforcement Division	
Mail to:	California Public Utilities Commission Attn: Tack Joe, Railroad Safety Branch 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102	

Please place me/my agency on the mailing list for the Order Instituting Investigation to establish the 1996-97 and 1997-98 Railroad/Highway Grade Separation Priority List.

AGENCY NAME		
ADDRESS		
CITY	ZIP CODE	
CONTACT PERSON NAME		
TITLE	TELEPHONE	

APPENDIX 4 Sheet 1 of 2

ADDRESS LIST

GRADE SEPARATION NOMINATION

This is a partial listing, only. Applicants are still responsible to serve copies of their nominations on the railroad(s) involved in their proposals.

RAILROADS

Dan A. Barringer, G.M. Amador Railroad Company 909 Terminal Sales Bldg. Portland, OR 97205

Annette L. Polte General Manager Amador Central Railroad Co. P.O. Box 66 Martell, CA 95654

L.E. Mueller, General Manager Burlington Northern Railroad Co. 2000 First Interstate Center Seattle, WA 98104

G. J. Allen, General Manager California Western Railroad (DBA: Mendocino Coast Railway) P.O. Box 907 Fort Bragg, CA 95437

Steve Crook, General Manager North Coast Railroad Co. P. O. Box 2014 Eureka, CA 95502

R. A. Igo, General Manager Harbor Belt Line Railroad Box A P.O. Wilmington, CA 90748

Richard Levin, President Levin-Richmond Ter. Corp (Parr Terminal Railroad) 402 Wright Avenue Richmond, CA 94804

Neil Peterson, - Exec. Dir. Los Angeles County Transportation Commission - RCC 818 W. 7th Street, Suite 1100 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Jeff E. Forbis, Pres & CEO McCloud Railway P. O. Box 1500 McCloud, CA 96057

James L. Beard, President Modesto & Empire Traction Co. P. O. Box 3106 Modesto, CA 95353

Tom Schueler, Dir. of Engr Port of Sacramento Sacramento-Yolo Port District Belt Railroad P. O. Box 815 West Sacramento, CA 95691

A.G. Beckman, Dir. of Oprns Port of Stockton Stockton Public Belt Railroad P. O. Box 2089 Stockton, CA 95201

Thomas G. Matoff, Gen Manager Sacramento Regional Transit Dist. Light Rail Project P.O. Box 2110 Sacramento, CA 95812-2110

Dennis Kling, General Manager San Diego and Imperial Valley RR 743 Imperial Avenue San Diego, CA 92101

> Peter Tereschuk, Vice President San Diego Trolley, Inc. 1255 Imperial Ave. Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101

> Lawrence Reuter, Dir. of Trans. Santa Clara Co Transportation Agency 101 West Younger Avenue San Jose, CA 95110

APPENDIX 4 Sheet 2 of 2

ADDRESS LIST GRADE SEPARATION NOMINATION

Mrs. Sue J. Sword, President & L. T. Cecil, V.P. & G.M. Manager Yreka Western Railroad Co. Santa Maria Valley Railroad CompanyP. O. Box 660 P. O. Box 340 Yreka, CA 96097 Santa Maria, CA 93456

Jerry Gregg, Exec. V.P. Sierra Railroad Company 13645 Tuolumne road Sonora, CA 95370

Ken A. Moore, V.P. - Operations Southern Pacific Transportation Co. One Market Plaza San Francisco, CA 94105

Greg N. Carney, V.P. & COO Stockton Terminal & Eastern Rr. 1330 North Broadway Avenue Stockton, CA 95205

Roy Ketring, Special Project Mgr. The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company 740 E. Garnegie Drive San Bernardino, CA 92408-3571

Mark C. Demetree, Pres Trona Railway Company 13068 Main St. Trona, CA 93562

E. C. May General Manager Union Pacific Railroad Co. 406 W. First South Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Carmen Chappell, President Ventura County Railway Co. P.O. Box 432 Oxnard, CA 93032

CALTRANS (Send one copy to each addressee)

J. E. Robert, Chief Division of Structures Department of Transportation State of California Attn: Jack Boda P.O. Box 942874 Sacramento, CA 94274-0001

E. C. Bonnstetter, Attorney Department of Transportation State of California P.O. Box 1438 Sacramento, CA 95812-1438

ADDITIONAL PARTIES (Send one copy to each addressee)

Jeff S. Asay, Staff Attorney Union Pacific Railroad Compay 5500 Ferguson Dr., Ste. J Los Angeles, CA 90022

General Attorney Southern Pacific Transp. Co. Southern Pacific Building One Market Plaza San Francisco, CA 94105

Curtis Ballantyne, Attorney Santa Fe Southern Pacific Corp. 35th Floor, Union Bank Square 445 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90071

(For Orange County appl. only)
Roger Hohnbaum, Manager
EMA/Transportation Programs
County of Orange
P. O. Box 4048
Santa Ana, CA 92702-4048

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation for the purpose establishing a list for the figurars 1995-94 and 1996-97 of existing and proposed crossing grade of city streets, county roads, or state highways most urgently in need of separation or projects effecting the elimination of grade crossing removal or relocation of street or railroad tracks, or existing separations in need of alterator reconstruction as contempled by Section 2452 of the Street Highways Code.	Fiscal) Ings at) Ings at) Ings by) Ings by) Ings by) Ings by No
	Separation or elimination of oposed railroad grade crossing
Nomination by	City/County of
in compliance	with I
Location	Name (street)
Railroad	Company

APPENDIX 5 Sheet 2 of 7

NOMINATION REQUIREMENTS

The Order Instituting Investigation (OII) sets forth the requirements for all nominations. Please carefully review the OII and attach all of its required data and information as separate sheets to this nomination form. The following is a summary of the data required by Ordering Paragraph No. 4:

- a. A statement indicating the need for the project.
- b. A statement refunding ability to complete pre-allocation requirements.
- c. Location map of the project.
- d. Two photographs (8" X 10").
- e. A statement indicating the type of project.
- g. For proposed crossing projects, a discussion of the physical practicability of constructing an at-grade crossing.
- j. Data submitted in the nomination must be based on verifiable facts occurring on or before the nomination filing date.
- k. Prepared testimony fully supporting the nomination.
- 1. All nominations shall be verified by the nominating party.
- m. All information relating to the urgency of the project shall be filed with the nomination in affidavit form.

In addition to the above, please provide the following information:

- 1. As part of the need statement, please describe the community impact of the existing at-grade crossing and the proposed separation.
- 2. Describe and discuss each of the following as it applies to your crossing: limited waiting area for the vehicles, traffic signals located near the tracks, parallel road to the track(s), visibility of upcoming crossing, noise impediment, frequently used entrances or exits near the crossing, curvature of roadway or tracks which might hinder the normal observance of possible approaching trains, and other hazard causing elements.
- 3. Describe the current status of the project, i.e., preliminary engineering, design, PUC grade separation application, right of way negotiations/purchase, notice of determination, an environmental impact document, any discussions, negotiations and/or agreements with the railroad, etc.
- 4. If your agency has received any governing body (city council/board of supervisors, etc.) approval, plans attach resolution or other documentation. Also, please discuss the availability and source of local matching funds.

Appendix 5

Sheet 2 of 7

APPENDIX 5 Sheet 3 of 7

NOMINATION FOR SEPARATION OR ELIMINATION OF EXISTING OR PROPOSED RAILROAD GRADE CROSSING

1.	Nominating Agency:	
	Name Address City County	
2.	Contact Person: Prima	
	Name Title Telephone ()	//(
	C N	
3.	Crossing Number and Location: PUC Crossing No. Street Name City County Railroad Co. Name	_ assigned to all crossings. _ The number may be obtained
4.	Number of Each Type of Railroad Main Branch Passing Siding/Spur Other (specify)	d Track: If unknown, the type of track may be obtained from the railroad company.

APPENDIX 5 Sheet 4 of 7

5. TYPE	OF CROSSING PRO	OTECTION:	<u>EXPLANATION</u>
Cro Wig	op Signs ossbucks gwags agman ner	Gates Bells Lights Stop sign	Check all protection that exist at the crossing presently. Specify other in the space provided.
6. Appr	oach Roadway:		
	th (feet) ber of lanes		Within 200 feet on either side of the crossing.
7. Cros	sing Roadway:		
	hth (feet) hber of lanes		On the roadway pavement at the crossing.
	sing Skew Angle: grees	: 	Describe the angle which the roadway crosses the perpendicular of the track(s)
Dir Cha Dir	rated Surface Proceedings in Height	(in)	From each side of the approach at a point 30 ft from the closest rail, measure the difference in height from the top of the rail to the surface of the road.
l0. Aver	age Daily Motor	Vehicle Volume:	
Veh Dat	nicle Count (ADT te of Count)	An average 24-hour day count is required. All counts must be done after January 1, 1995.
Des	scription of data	a collection metho	ds:

EXPLANATION

Appendix 5 Sheet 5 of 7

11. Average Daily Train (ADT) Volume: Passenger The ADT should be obtain-Through freight ed by a written request Switching Light rail from the railroad, otherwise, specify the source of information below. Other (specify Staff recommends that the below) TOTAL TRAINS ADT be confirmed by direct observations. Description of data collection methods: 12. Speed: The train speed should Motor Veh. (Posted MPH) attained at the Crossing. This data may be obtained from the railroad company

Description of data collection methods:

13. Accidents:

Train-vehicle
Vehicle-vehicle
Vehicle-object

A 10-year accident history of each type of accident that may be attributed to the presence of the grade crossing.

or by properly operated

radar equipment.

Description	of	data	collection	methods:	

APPENDIX 5 Sheet 6 of 7

		EXPLANATION
14.	Crossing Blocking Delay: Date count was done Number of delays Total time delay	Count must be performed after January 1, 1995, Show the total time in minutes per day the warning devices are activated. The data may be obtained by installation of a signal activation monitoring devise or an average delay per train based on direct observation.
	Description of data collection methods:	
		_
15.	Nearest alternative route(feet) The	e nearest alternate route as measured along the centerline of the railroad track.
16.	Average number of crossings per day:	
	School bus Other bus Haz Mat Trucks Ambulance Haz Mat Trains Police Other	Show the number of average crossing per day for each type of vehicle Specify other below.
	Description of data collection methods:	
17.	Type of Project Proposed: (check one)	
	Underpass Overpass Other (specify)	If Other , please describe below

APPENDIX 5 Sheet 7 of 7

EXPLANATION

		EXPLANATION
18.	For Proposed crossing: (check one)	
	At grade crossing is practical and feasible At grade crossing is not practical and feasible	In the narrative section, show sufficient evidence that construction of an at-grade crossing is, or is not physically practical and feasible
19.	Contribution:	
	Contribution by the city or county equal to or greater than 50% of the cost the project. (yes/no)	
20.	Right-of-way allowance\$ Preliminary Engineering\$ Construction Engineering\$ Total Engineering\$ Bridge Construction\$ Railroad work\$ Highway approaches and connections\$ Utility relocation\$ Removing existing crossing (where applicable)\$ Total Project cost\$	The estimated project cost shall be as of April 1, 1995. The cost shall be itemize as shown and any item left blank shall be. explained The estimated cost shall be limit to that portion of the project which is necessary to make the grade separation operable and teffect the separation of grades between the highway and the railroad tracks. The project cost shall be rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

Note: For projects involving more than one crossing, complete the appropriate form for each individual crossing and also show a summary for the complete project.

Appendix 6 Sheet 1 of 6

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation for the purpose of establishing a list for the fiscal years 1996-97 and 1997-98 of existing and proposed crossings at grade of city streets, county roads, or state highways most urgently in need of separation, or projects effecting the elimination of grade crossings by removal or relocation of streets or railroad tracks, or existing separations in need of alteration or reconstruction as contemplated by Section 2452 of the Streets and Highways Code.

No.

Nomination for alteration or reconstruction of existing grade separation

Nomination by City/County of ______in compliance with I. _____

DUE DATE: September 1, 1995

APPENDIX 6

Sheet 2 of 6

NOMINATION REQUIREMENTS

The Order Instituting Investigation (OII) sets forth the requirements for all nominations. Please carefully review the OII and attach all of its required data and information as separate sheets to this nomination form. The following is a summary of the data required by Ordering Paragraph No.4:

- a. A statement indicating the need for the project.
- b. A statement regarding ability to complete pre-allocation requirements.
- c. Location map of the project.
- d. Two photographs.
- e. A statement indicating the type of project.
- i. A description of the existing and proposed separation structures, including acute structural deficiencies.
- j. Data submitted in the nomination must be based on verifiable facts occurring on or before the nomination filing date.
- k. Prepared testimony fully supporting the nomination.
- 1. All nominations shall be verified by the nominating party.
- m. All information relating to the urgency of the project shall be filed with the nomination in affidavit form.

In addition to the above, please provide the following information:

- Describe the current status of the project, i.e., preliminary engineering, designs right of way negotiations/purchase, notice of determination, any discussions negotiations and/or agreements with the railroad, etc.
- 2. If your agency has received any governing body (city council/board of supervisors, etc.) approval, please attach resolution or other documentation. Also, please discuss the availability and source of local matching funds.

Appendix 6 Sheet 3 of 6

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{NOMINATION} \quad \textbf{FOR} \quad \underline{\textbf{ALTERATION}} \quad \textbf{OR} \quad \underline{\textbf{RECONSTRUCTION}} \quad \textbf{OF} \\ \underline{\textbf{EXISTING}} \quad \underline{\textbf{GRADE}} \quad \underline{\textbf{SEPARATION}} \end{array}$

1.	Nominating Agency:	
	Name Address	
	City	
	City ZIP Code	
	211 6046	
2.	Contact Person: Primary	<u>Alternate</u>
	Name	/
	Title	/_
	Name Title Telephone ()	/()
	Consultant Name	
	Title	
	Company Name Telephone ()	
	Telephone ()	
		EXPLANATION
3.	Crossing Number and Location:	
		Public Utilities
	PUC Crossing No.	Commission (PUC)
	Street Name	crossing numbers are
	City County Railroad Co. Name	assigned to all
	County	crossings. The crossing
	Railroad Co Name	numbers are generally
	naiii oaa co. name	painted on the warning
		device. However if
		necessary, the numbers
		may be obtained from the
		Commission staff.
4.	Horizontal Structure Clearance:	Commission Stair.
4.	Horizontal Structure Clearance:	
	Width (Feet)	Show he roadway width
	Width (Feet) Number of lanes	available for vehicular.
	Number of failes	traffic
_		
5.	Vertical Structure Clearance:	For outerpage measure
	0	For overpass, measure
	Overpass (Feet) Underpass (Feet)	from top of rail to
	underpass (Feet)	bottom of structure. For
		underpass, measure from
		pavement to bottom of
		structure.

APPENDIX 6 Sheet 4 of 6

EXPLANATION

Self explanatory
Quantitatively identify any vehicular speed reduction which may be due to the presence of the structure. Information regarding a railroad slow order may be obtained from the railroad company.
:
Show any vehicular or rail-road load limit restriction at the structure.
:
If unknown, the type of track may be obtained from the railroad company. Please describe other types of tracks below
:

APPENDIX 6 Sheet 5 of 6

EXPLANATION

LO.	Average Daily Vehicle Volume:	An average 24-hour day count
	Vehicle Count (ADT) Date of Count	is required. All counts must be done after January 1,1995
	Description of data collection methods	:
L1.	Average Daily Train Volume:	
	Passenger Through freight Switching Light rail	It is preferred that the data be obtained by a written request to the railroad, otherwise the source of information in the
	TOTAL TRAINS	narrative. It is advised that the data be confirmed by direct observation.
	Description of data collection methods	:
L2.	Secondary Accidents:	
	Vehicle-vehicle Vehicle-object	A 10-year accident history of the number of secondary accidents which may be attributed to the presence of the grade separation structure.
	Explain the type of accidents ocinformation:	curring and the source of

APPENDIX 6 Sheet 6 of 6

EXPLANATION

_	_						
1	3.	Con	ıt.r	1 bi	1 t. 1	On:	•

Contribution by the city or county equal to or greater than 50% of the cost the project? Yes____ No____

14. Estimated Project Cost (April 1, 1995)

Right-of-way allowance\$Preliminary Engineering\$
Construction Engineering\$
Total Engineering\$
Bridge Construction\$
Railroad work\$
Highway approaches and
connections\$
Utility relocation\$
Contingencies\$
Removing existing crossing
(where applicable)\$
Total construction cost\$
Total Project cost\$

The estimated project cost shall be as of April 1, 1995. The cost shall be itemized as shown and any item left blank shall be explained. The estimated cost shall be limited to that portion of the project which is necessary to make the grade separation operable and to effect the separation of grades between the highway and the railroad tracks. The project cost shall be rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.

Note: For projects involving more than one crossing, complete the appropriate form for each individual crossing and also show a summary for the complete project.

This bade initionally best plants